

A multi-layered approach to OAI protocol in multiple metadata environment

Mario Mango Furnari – Marco Cesarano
 Istituto di Cibernetica “E. Caianiello” - Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche
 Pozzuoli (Napoli), Italy



We developed: an OAI Data Provider Harvester - Gateway, connected to the OAI official site for OAI Server's indexing and update; an experimental OAI server; a registered DSpace repository extended to manage Michael metadata set. The current setup contains about 15.000 elements describing cultural heritage goods located in Naples.



Our researches are focused on managing metadata-based information in the context of the semantic web oriented arena. We are pursuing this goal along different paths: extending the CIMI approach, in order to use the same syntactical element to express more than one meaning; on doing so, we can manage simultaneously both repositories and content using the same metadata set, including digital contents, digital collections and repositories.

Another path is faced with the possibility to attach a “meaning” to DC elements through domain ontology. We are experimenting this approach within the context of the ReMuNa project (www.napolibeniculturali.it), where we build a knowledge based infrastructure, currently adopted by the ministry of cultural heritage and activities (MIBAC) in Campania.



Furthermore, we are experimenting a distributed CMS based on Zope/Plone that interoperate using the OAI protocol. Every Plone instance can act either as Pure or Index Repository. The repository could be chosen according to one of the following criteria: pattern-matching on search keywords; using an ontology; employing a thesaurus, like Pico Thesaurus.

Our repositories are compliant with ICCD, Michael and Dublin Core metadata standards, and give a homogeneous view of all contents mapping semantically equivalent fields among them. To improve the interoperability, we adopted the "normalized records" approach, whose schema is based on qualified Dublin Core. In addition, through encoding schemes it's possible to extend the interpretation of non qualified Dublin Core metadata, e.g. by using a thesaurus tuned on a particular context (in our case, cultural heritage).

This "hierarchical approach" manages two different granularity levels through the OAI protocol, and it can be used in more complex contexts, in which "users" and "repositories" are generically Service Providers. This approach shortens the searching time for digital contents and furnishes to the user a clear view about the search (because it can manage more abstraction levels).

Digital Collection		
Minerva Attribute	RDF property	Definition
General attributes		
Title	dc:title	The name of the collection.
Identifier	dc:identifier	A formal identifier for the collection.
Description	dc:description	A description of the collection.
Digital document format	dc:format	The physical or digital characteristics of the collection
Language	dc:language	The language of the items in the collection.

<p>Element Name: Creator</p> <p>Label: Creator</p> <p>Definition: An entity primarily responsible for making the co</p> <p>Comment: Examples of Creator include a person, an organi, the name of a Creator should be used to indicate the entity.</p>	<table border="1"> <tr><td>CD</td><td>CODICI</td></tr> <tr><td>TSK</td><td>Tipo scheda</td></tr> <tr><td>LIR</td><td>Livello ricerca</td></tr> <tr><td>NCT</td><td>CODICE UNIVOCO</td></tr> <tr><td>NCTR</td><td>Codice regione</td></tr> <tr><td>NCTN</td><td>Numero catalogo generale</td></tr> <tr><td>NCTS</td><td>Suffisso numero catalogo generale</td></tr> <tr><td>ESC</td><td>Ente schedatore</td></tr> <tr><td>ECP</td><td>Ente competente</td></tr> <tr><td>EPR</td><td>Ente proponente</td></tr> </table>	CD	CODICI	TSK	Tipo scheda	LIR	Livello ricerca	NCT	CODICE UNIVOCO	NCTR	Codice regione	NCTN	Numero catalogo generale	NCTS	Suffisso numero catalogo generale	ESC	Ente schedatore	ECP	Ente competente	EPR	Ente proponente
CD	CODICI																				
TSK	Tipo scheda																				
LIR	Livello ricerca																				
NCT	CODICE UNIVOCO																				
NCTR	Codice regione																				
NCTN	Numero catalogo generale																				
NCTS	Suffisso numero catalogo generale																				
ESC	Ente schedatore																				
ECP	Ente competente																				
EPR	Ente proponente																				
<p>Element Name: Subject</p> <p>Label: Subject and Keywords</p> <p>Definition: A topic of the content of the resource.</p> <p>Comment: Typically, Subject will be expressed as keywords tion codes that describe a topic of the resource. Recommen select a value from a controlled vocabulary or formal classifi</p>	<table border="1"> <tr><td>RV</td><td>RELAZIONI</td></tr> <tr><td>RVE</td><td>STRUTTURA COMPLESSA</td></tr> <tr><td>RVEL</td><td>Livello</td></tr> <tr><td>RVER</td><td>Codice bene radice</td></tr> <tr><td>RVES</td><td>Codice bene componente</td></tr> <tr><td>RSE</td><td>RELAZIONI DIRETTE</td></tr> <tr><td>RSER</td><td>Tipo relazione</td></tr> <tr><td>RSET</td><td>Tipo scheda</td></tr> </table>	RV	RELAZIONI	RVE	STRUTTURA COMPLESSA	RVEL	Livello	RVER	Codice bene radice	RVES	Codice bene componente	RSE	RELAZIONI DIRETTE	RSER	Tipo relazione	RSET	Tipo scheda				
RV	RELAZIONI																				
RVE	STRUTTURA COMPLESSA																				
RVEL	Livello																				
RVER	Codice bene radice																				
RVES	Codice bene componente																				
RSE	RELAZIONI DIRETTE																				
RSER	Tipo relazione																				
RSET	Tipo scheda																				

